

I Basic data

A Report data

Report dated			
	<i>field mission</i>	<i>(in case of</i>	

B Project managers within the Bank

Duties	Upon approval	Upon completion
Regional Director		
Head of National Office		
Sector Director		
Responsible sectoriel		
Activity Coordinator		
Alternate Activity Coordinator		
RAP Team Leader		
Members of the HBP Team		

C Project data

Project name:	
Project Code:	Number(s) of financing instrument(s): Don GAFSP N° ADF loan No.
Project Type:	Sector:
Country:	Environmental categorization

B Efficiency


1. Progress towards the project development objective (purpose of the project)

Feedback

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

carried out by the
groundwater component
and the surface water
component.
36586 beneficiary
breeders

--	--	--



4. Implementation Status (IP)

(vii) *Response to project requests*: The Bank responded to the various project requests within the required time frames, although delays were sometimes noted in the processing of disbursement requests.

Comments to be inserted by the Bank on its own performance (both quantitative and qualitative, depending on available information). See the guidance note on the issues to be addressed.

The Bank was able to effectively support the Government of Senegal in formulating a request to the GAFSP, allowing the granting of a grant of USD 40 million. She participated in the design of the project by drawing on her previous experiences in the country and in the subregion. It ensured, at the national level, the integration of the sectoral departments concerned (DBRLA, DIREL, ANIDA) for the design and implementation. It ensured the participation of local authorities and beneficiaries in the definition of activities and infrastructures. The project was regularly monitored by the Bank, with periodic supervision and monitoring missions. The Bank also promoted the development of agricultural entrepreneurship activities, to better integrate the dynamics driven by the project. Fiduciary arrangements were followed by the Bank in financial audits. The Bank effectively fulfilled the mandate to manage the GAFSP funds, reporting regularly on the use of the resources made available. The Bank's performance is considered satisfactory.

Key issues

Lessons learned

Participation of project stakeholders

Coordination of donor assistance

Key questions

Lessons learned

--	--	--

2. Key recommendations (with a particular focus on ensuring the sustainability of project benefits)

Key questions	Key Recommendation	Responsible
---------------	--------------------	-------------

STREAM D: SUSTAINABILITY	3
ARITHMETIC MEAN OF THE PANES	xxx
OVERALL SCORE OF PROJECT COMPLETION	3 satisfactory

WE Acronyms and abbreviations

Acronym (if necessary, add more lines)	Description
NESTS	

1. Benefits and beneficiaries

The priority targets of the project will be small-scale producers (men and women) residing in the three regions of intervention of the programme, with a stronger focus on the Louga and Kaffrine regions, taking into account ongoing actions and those planned by IFAD in the Matam region. In total, more than 30,000 farmers and herders will be directly affected by the project, including about 13,000 women and 5,000 young people. These will include the 14,000 producers in the Kaffrine region who will benefit from developments in the valleys, the 2,000 women and young people in the Louga and Kaffrine regions who will be installed in 36 modern equipped farms, about 14,000 livestock farmers in the silvopastoral zone who will be organized and supported in pastoral units that will be created or revitalized. The beneficiaries of the project will be not only the families of producers and herders directly affected by the activities promoted to increase production, but also the populations of the surrounding villages who will see their living conditions improve, thanks to better availability of food, access roads and the multiplication of drinking water supply points. It is estimated that 390,000 people will benefit from the project.

1.

The selection of beneficiaries was carried out after a concerted definition of the selection criteria (gender, degree of vulnerability, experience of the people in the activity concerned, possibility of contribution in kind, place of residence, etc.) by targeting committees chaired by the administrative authorities. The lists of beneficiaries were then sent to the management committees of the various project activities.

2. Estimation of additional production

3. Economic rate of return

Sensitivity analysis

Calculation assumptions	Value of the ERR

