Public Disclosure Authorized



Report Number: ICRR0020822

1. Project Data

Project ID P123457	Project Name BD Integrated Agricultural Productivity		
Country Bangladesh	Practice Agricultu	e Area(Lead) re	
L/C/TF Number(s) TF-10378	Closing Date (Original) 30-Sep-2016		Total Project Cost (USD) 63,550,000.00
Bank Approval Date 15-Aug-2011	Closing Date (Actual) 31-Dec-2016		
	IBRD/ID	A (USD)	Grants (USD)
Original Commitment	46,310,000.00		46,310,000.00
Revised Commitment	46,310,000.00		46,310,000.00
Actual	46,23	42,867,392.55	
Prepared by Alexandra Christina Horst	Reviewed by Christopher David Nelson	ICR Review Coordin Christopher David Nels	

2. Project Objectives and Components

a. Objectives

The Project Development Objective (PDO) of the Bangladesh Integrated Agricultural Productivity Project (IAPP) as stated in the Grant Agreement dated September 12, 2012 was "to enhance the productivity of agriculture (crops, livestock and fisheries) in pilot areas". The IAPP Project Appraisal Document (PAD) dated July 26, 2011 states the same PDO, but specifies that "these areas lie in Rangpur, Kurigram, Nilfamari and Lalmonirhat districts in the North and Barisal, Patuakhali, Barguna and Jhalokathi districts in the South."

According to IEG practice, the aforementioned PDO of the Grant Agreement is adopted for the purpose of assessing the project's achievements in this Implementation Completion Report Review (ICRR).

- b. Were the project objectives/key associated outcome targets revised during implementation?
 No
- c. Will a split evaluation be undertaken?
- d. Components

Component 1: Technology Generation and Adaptation (Appraisal estimate: US\$7.57 million, Actual Cost as of ICR: US\$ 6.83 million). This component was to adapt and release with yield-increasing and production-intensifying t-1 m 527I76 0 Td 1r3540 0 I 540 48.2.20139274wENL

Independent



5. the change in areas under improved irrigation

- 12651008222ed138% of tarout 156510211ich 89% v/t006124n of
 - Farmers whose productivity increased in Crops (Number). Baseline: 0; Target: 140000; Achieved: 152000, of which 33% women (109% of target)
 - Farmers whose productivity increased in Fisheries (Number). Baseline: 0; Target: 48000; Achieved: 48177, of which 25% women (109% of target)
 - Farmers whose productivity increased in Livestock (Number). Baseline: 0; Target: 48000; Achieved: 50652, of which 89% women (106% of target)
 - Incremental increase in productivity of Crops paddy rice (Kg/Ha). For Boro variety Baseline: 5450; Target (revised upward): 5950. Value achieved: 6300 (106% of target). For T-AUS variety Baseline: 2700; Target (revised upward): 3300. Value achieved: 4650 (141% of target). For Amon variety Baseline: 2700; Target (revised upward): 3300. Value achieved: 4560 (138% of target).
 - Incremental increase in yield in Fish (Kg/Ha). Baseline: 2700; Target: 3400. Value achieved: 5420 (159% of target).
 - Incremental increase in yield of milk (I/day/cow). Baseline: 1.6; Target (revised upward): 2.2. Value achieved: 2.86 (130% of target).

Overview outputs (Intermediate Outcome Indicators):

- Improved varieties released for Crop farmers (Number). Baseline: 0; Target: 14; Achieved: 15 (107% of target)
- Improved varieties released for Fish farmers (Number). Baseline: 0; Target: 9; Achieved: 9 (100% of target)

a. Outcome Rating Satisfactory

7.

Implementation

Implementation



a. Safeguards

Environmental Safeguards. The project was classified as environmental Category B, as it was not expected to lead to any significant negative environmental changes. It triggered Environmental Assessment (EA) 4.01, Natural Habitats 4.04 and Pest Management 4.09 Operational Safeguard Policies. The PAD states that during project preparation an Environmental Management Framework (EMF) was drafted and disclosed on the Bangladesh Ministry of Agriculture website and the World Bank InfoShop. Also, public consultations were held with stakeholders, potential beneficiaries, indigenous peoples and NGOs at national and local levels. Annual reports on subproject activities were planned to capture the implementation experience of the EMF procedures to identify and address potential environmental performance issues. The ICR reports very little on the management of environmental safeguards during implementation, but states that all subproject proposals were screened for environmental impacts and that the Environmental Safeguards Specialist regularly reviewed a random sample of the environmental screening forms.

 Procurement. The PMU capacity for procurement was largely satisfactory and led to a timely completion of all planned

